		·
1 2 3 4	LAW OFFICES OF PANOS LAGOS Panos Lagos, Esq. / SBN 61821 5032 Woodminster Lane Oakland, CA 94602 (510)530-4078 (510)530-4725/FAX panoslagos@aol.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff,	
6	KEVIN WOODSON	
7		,
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	KEVIN WOODSON,) Case No.: C 11-00057 JCS
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION [AND ORDER]
12	v.	PERMITTING THE FILING OF SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
13	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
14	SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, GEORGE GASCON, in his capacity as Chief of	}
15	Police of the City and County of San Francisco, ADAM EATIA, individually and in his capacity as a	}
16	Peace Officer for the City and County of San Francisco, MAHANA ASKANDAFI, individually and doing business as PEARL MARKET, and) }
ŀ	DOES 1 – 200, jointly and severally,	}
18	Defendants.	.)
19		
20	The parties hereby stipulate, by and through their counsel, Panos Lagos representing	
21	Plaintiff Kevin Woodson, and Deputy City Attorney James F. Hannawalt representing Defendant	
22	City and County of San Francisco, that Plaintiff may file his Second Amended Complaint	
23	naming Basil Askandafi as an additionally named individual defendant whose name was,	
24	heretofore, unknown to Plaintiff.	
25	: . i	
26	Dated: February 24, 2011 L	AW OFFICES OF PANOS LAGOS
27		land last
28	A	anos Lagos, Esq ttorney for Plaintiff, EVIN WOODSON
	STIPULATION FOR FILING OF SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -1-	

Woodson v. City and County of San Francisco / Case No.: C 11-00057 JCS

Dated: February 1 DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney 2 JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy 3 JAMES F. HANNAWALT Deputy City Attorney 4 5 6 7 Attòrnevs for Defendant. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 8 9 ORDER 10 Pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties, 11 IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff may file his Second Amended Complaint naming Basil 12 Askandafi as an additionally named individual defendant. 13 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint shall be filed not later than March 14, 2011. The Answer currently on file for Defendant City and County of San Francisco is hereby 14 15 deemed the Answer to said Second Amended Complaint. 16 Dated:_02/28/11 17 **JOSEPH** 18 United Sta Judge Joseph C. Spero 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28